

tive results or self-limited abnormalities without additional, otherwise unnecessary, diagnostic testing.

Hundreds of millions of urine specimens are analyzed in the United States each year, costing consumers billions of dollars. It appears that doing these tests based on clinical findings and not simply on hospital admission could decrease medical care costs with little adverse effect on patient care.

F. ALLAN HUBBELL, MD, MSPH
BARBARA V. AKIN, MD
Orange, California

REFERENCES

- Akin BV, Hubbell FA, Frye EB, et al: Efficacy of the routine admission urinalysis. *Am J Med* 1987 Apr; 82:719-722
- Kroenke K, Hanley JF, Copley JB, et al: The admission urinalysis: Impact on patient care. *J Gen Intern Med* 1986 Jul; 1:238-242
- American College of Physicians, Medical Necessity Project Recommendations: Summary, 1978-1981. Dept of Health and Public Policy, American College of Physicians, 4200 Pine St, Philadelphia, PA 19104

Diagnosing Pulmonary Embolism

THE ORIGINAL UROKINASE STUDY showed that the clinical diagnosis of pulmonary embolism was not specific enough to justify the risks of anticoagulant therapy: only 162 of 906 patients suspected of having pulmonary embolism had it confirmed angiographically. Subsequently, with quantum improvements in the technology of the perfusion lung scan and the development of a clinically useful ventilation scan, there was widespread use of the combined ventilation-perfusion lung scan for clinical decision making. Unfortunately, no prospective, randomized clinical trial had ever been done to validate the concepts of matched and mismatched scan patterns. This overreliance on the ventilation-perfusion lung scan was the subject in 1977 of a controversial editorial in the *Annals of Internal Medicine*. Much of the literature that appeared after the editorial continued to report results on series flawed by selection bias and other problems. Only one large prospective series studying the sensitivity and specificity of lung scan patterns has been reported, and another is in progress. Before commenting any further, I will review some background information on the risks of anticoagulant therapy.

Heparin use is associated with a 5% to 20% rate of hemorrhagic complications and about a 0.5% to 1% fatality rate. Oral anticoagulation with warfarin sodium is associated with complication rates essentially identical to those mentioned for heparin. Because present recommendations are that patients with venous thrombosis, pulmonary embolism or both are treated with both regimens sequentially, the morbidity and mortality are cumulative. In contrast, pulmonary angiography has a 4% major morbidity rate and a 0.25% fatality rate. Also, the careful use of selective, magnified views allows angiography to be used more safely in patients previously thought to be at high risk, and the refinement of nonionic contrast media may further reduce the risk for renal toxicity.

One large prospective study of the relative sensitivity and specificity of various ventilation-perfusion lung scan patterns found that 86% of "high-probability" scans were associated with an abnormal angiogram, whereas 25% to 40% of "low-probability" scans were associated with abnormal angiograms. Perhaps one of the most important results of this study was the finding of such a "high" prevalence of pulmonary embolism in patients with low-probability scans. The study has been criticized for: a possible low case acquisition rate,

routinely doing impedance plethysmography of the lower extremities before referring for scanning and angiography, failure to do angiography in all patients who were scanned, using a new, nonstandard ventilation-perfusion scan classification scheme and an overall high prevalence (55%) of venous thromboembolism in all scan categories. Regardless of these criticisms, this is the only modern, prospective comparison of lung scans and angiography available. The Prospective Investigation of Pulmonary Embolism Diagnosis, sponsored by the National Institutes of Health, is not yet completed.

When the uncertainties associated with the various scan patterns are considered and the risks of treatment with anticoagulant drugs are compared with those of angiography, many physicians believe there is a compelling argument to expand the indications for angiography. Based on available information, clinicians should not rely entirely on the ventilation-perfusion lung scan for decision making in patients felt to have pulmonary embolism, but should strongly consider further diagnostic testing, such as pulmonary angiography or tests to document deep vein thrombosis, as the treatment is essentially the same. Obviously, no single set of recommendations can possibly address the myriad permutations of patient presentations, but the available data indicate that relying on lung scans alone will lead to overtreatment of some patients with false-positive high-probability scans and undertreatment of some with false-negative low-probability scans.

PAUL E. BELLAMY, MD
Los Angeles

REFERENCES

- American College of Chest Physicians and National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute Conference on Antithrombotic Therapy. *Chest* 1986 Feb; 89 (suppl): 1-106
- Goodman PC: Pulmonary angiography. *Clin Chest Med* 1984 Sep; 5:465-477
- Hull RD, Hirsch J, Carter CJ, et al: Diagnostic value of ventilation-perfusion lung scanning in patients with suspected pulmonary embolism. *Chest* 1985; 88:819-828
- Robin ED: Overdiagnosis and overtreatment of pulmonary embolism: The emperor may have no clothes. *Ann Intern Med* 1977 Dec; 87:775-781
- Sasahara AA, Hyers TM, Cole CM (Eds): The Urokinase Pulmonary Embolism Trial—A National Cooperative Study. *Circulation* 1973 Apr; 47 (suppl 2): 1-100
- Wellman HN: Pulmonary thromboembolism: Current status report on the role of nuclear medicine. *Semin Nucl Med* 1986; 16:236-274

'Routine' Preoperative Laboratory Testing

PATIENTS ADMITTED TO HOSPITAL for an elective operation commonly undergo a battery of "routine" preoperative laboratory testing. This often includes a complete blood and platelet count, prothrombin time, partial thromboplastin time, electrolytes, blood urea nitrogen, creatinine and glucose levels, urinalysis, chest x-ray film and an electrocardiogram. The goal of such examinations is to detect asymptomatic diseases not apparent on a history and physical examination, which if found and treated appropriately before a surgical procedure would minimize the morbidity and mortality accompanying the operation. Recent studies of such clinical practices have found little scientific support or justification for this goal.

The problem lies in the ability of such tests to detect disease processes in otherwise healthy persons in whom the prevalence of such illnesses is low. Examining just the blood tests that are routinely done (12 in number and assuming "normal" to be the mean value of each test in presumably nondiseased persons plus or minus two standard deviations), in only 54% of all healthy persons screened will all 12 test results be in the "normal" range. Thus, the generation of